

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
RECEIVED
MAY 9 1997

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

In the Matter of)

Revision of Part 22 and Part 90)
of the Commission's Rules to)
Facilitate Future Development of)
Paging Systems)

WT Docket No. 96-18

Implementation of Section 309(j))
of the Communications Act --)
Competitive Bidding)

PP Docket No. 93-253

To: The Commission

**NATIONWIDE PAGING PARTIAL OPPOSITION
TO PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Nationwide Paging, Inc. ("Nationwide"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 405(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 405 (a), and Section 1.429(f) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.429(f), hereby submits this Partial Opposition to the Petitions for Reconsideration (the "Petition") of the FCC's "Second Report and Order" ("Second R&O") in the above-captioned rule making proceeding filed by AirStar Paging, Inc. ("AirStar") and ProNet, Inc. ("ProNet").¹

**I. The Dismissal of Pending Finder's Preference Requests Should Stand,
and Extend to All Non-Final Preference Proceedings.**

AirStar argues that the Commission should clarify that the dismissal of pending Finder's Preference requests did not include its Finder's Preference request against Nationwide, which is currently on reconsideration. See AirStar Petition at 4. To the extent that its Finder's Preference

¹ Public Notice of the filing of Petitions for Reconsideration of the Second R&O was published in the Federal Register on April 24, 1997; thus, this Response is timely.

request has been dismissed, AirStar seeks reconsideration of that dismissal.² Id. at 5-8. In its Petition, ProNet argues that all pending litigation, including Finder's Preference Requests under reconsideration or review, should be processed. See ProNet Petition at 7-8. Nationwide opposes AirStar's and ProNet's Petitions on this issue, and submits that the Commission should uphold its dismissal of pending Finder's Preference requests, including those that are on reconsideration or review.

It is axiomatic that a matter is "pending" throughout all administrative appeals. For example, the U.S. Court of Appeals has held that "a pending petition for administrative reconsideration renders the underlying agency action nonfinal" See, Telestar, Inc. v. FCC, 888 F.2d 132, 133 (D.C. Cir. 1989) (citation omitted). Consequently, any Finder's Preference requests on reconsideration and review are "pending" and are equally subject to dismissal under Paragraph 18 of the Second R&O.³ Nationwide further submits that those dismissals are appropriate.

Unlike pending applicants who have already complied with the Commission's established filing and cut-off procedures, "Finders" whose awards are not final have no more than a contingent right to file an application. See 47 C.F.R. § 90.173(k).

Moreover, contrary to AirStar's assertions, see AirStar Petition at 5-6, prospective and

² Since Nationwide is the subject of a Finder's Preference request that is on reconsideration -- and specifically, of AirStar's Finder's Preference request -- Nationwide has standing to oppose the subject Petitions with regard to this issue.

³ The proposition that Finder's Preference requests that are appealed are nonfinal is further illustrated by the Commission's policy of "tolling" the 90 day period from the date of grant, in which grantees of Finder's Preference awards must file applications for permanent authority. The fact that the Commission does not accept applications for permanent authority during the appeals process shows that such awards are "pending," subject to the Commission's approval, and are thus vulnerable to dismissal.

pending "Finders" were given full notice in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making ("NPRM") in this proceeding that the Commission intended to dismiss pending Finder's Preference requests. See NPRM, FCC 96-52, ¶ 22 (released February 9, 1996).

The Commission has ample authority to change its rules in a manner that affects the conditional interests of parties, such as those with pending Finder's Preference requests, as long as it provides adequate notice of the proposed rule changes and opportunities for comment. See, e.g., Hispanic Information & Telecommunications Network v. FCC, 865 F. 2d 1289, 1294-1295 (D.C. Cir. 1989) (where the FCC changes its substantive standards through rule making so that an applicant is no longer qualified, its application may be dismissed). With regard to Finder's Preference requests, the Commission fully complied with its obligations to give affected parties prior notice and the opportunity to be heard. The dismissal of those pending requests should stand.

CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, Nationwide respectfully requests that the FCC uphold that portion of its Second R&O in this rule making proceeding that dismissed pending Finder's Preference requests, and that it reconsider portions of its Second R&O in accordance with Nationwide's Petition for Reconsideration, filed April 11, 1997. Also, the FCC should clarify that Finder's Preference grants that are subject to agency or judicial appeal are "pending" and have also been dismissed pursuant to the Second R&O.

Respectfully submitted,

NATIONWIDE PAGING, INC.

By: 

Samuel S. Guzik

Its Attorney

GUZIK & ASSOCIATES
1800 Century Park East
Fifth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
(310) 788-8800

May 9, 1997

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, ~~JEANNETTE DODD~~ a legal secretary in the law firm of Guzik & Associates, do hereby certify that on this 9th day of May, 1997, copies of the foregoing Response to Petitions for Reconsideration were mailed, postage prepaid, to the following:

Chairman Reed Hundt
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Rachelle B. Chong
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner James H. Quello
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802
Washington, DC 20554

Daniel Phythyon, Chief
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 5002
Washington, D.C. 20554

David Furth, Chief
Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7002
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mika Savir, Esq.
Legal Branch
Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7130
Washington, D.C. 20554

Jay Kitchen, President
Robert Hoggarth, Vice President
PCIA
500 Montgomery Street, Suite 700
Alexandria, VA 22314-1561

ITS
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 246
Washington, DC 20554

A. Thomas Carroccio, Esq.
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd
1615 L Street, N.W., Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036

John L. Crump
d/b/a ACE Communications
11403 Waples Mill Road, P.O. Box 3070
Oakton, VA 22124

George V. Wheeler, Esq.
Koteen & Naftalan
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Donald J. Evans, Esq.
McPadden, Evans & Sill
1627 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 810
Washington, D.C. 20006

Heather Hipsley, Esq.
Federal Trade Commission
6th Street and Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20580

Kenneth E. Hardman, Esq.
Moir & Hardman
2000 L Street, N.W.
Suite 512
Washington, DC 20036

Veronica M. Ahern, Esq.
Nixon, Hargrave, Devans & Doyle
One Thomas Circle
Washington, D.C. 20005

Michael J. Shortley, III, Esq.
180 South Clinton Avenue
Rochester, NY 14646

William L. Fishman, Esq.
Sullivan & Worcester, LLP
1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20036

Alan S. Tilles, Esq.
Meyer, Faller, Weisman & Rosenberg
440 Jenifer Street, N.W., Suite 380
Washington, D.C. 20015

Jeanne M. Walsh, Esq.
Kurtis & Associates, P.C.
2000 M Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036

Jack Richards, Esq.
Keller and Heckman
1001 G Street, N.W., Suite 500 West
Washington, D.C. 20001

Thomas Gutierrez, Esq.
J. Justin McClure, Esq.
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1111 19th Street, N.W., 12th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

William J. Franklin, Esq.
William J. Franklin, Chartered
1200 G Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005-3814

Lucille M. Mates, Esq.
Pacific Bell
140 New Montgomery Street, Rm 1526
San Francisco, CA 94105

James L. Wurtz, Esq.
Mariyaret E. Garber, Esq.
Pacific Telesis Group - Washington
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20004

Judith St. Ledger-Roty, Esq.
Kelley, Drye & Warren, LLP
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20006

Phillip L. Spector, Esq.
Thomas A. Boasberg, Esq.
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison
1615 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Amelia L. Brown, Esq.
Henry A. Solomon, Esq.
Haley, Bader & Potts
4350 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 900
Arlington, VA 22203-1633

George L. Lyon, Jr., Esq.
David Nace, Esq.
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1111 19th Street, N.W., 12th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

Timothy E. Welch, Esq.
Hill & Welch
1330 New Hampshire Ave., NW, Suite 113
Washington, D.C. 20036

Carl W. Northrop, Esq.
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
10th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20004

Kevin O'Brien, Pres.
O'Brien Communications
5054 Rapidan Place
Annandale, VA 22003

Kathleen Holden, Esq.
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

David L. Hill, Esq.
Audrey P. Rasmussen, Esq.
O'Connor & Hannan, L.L.P.
1919 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006

Robert H. Schwaninger, Jr., Esq.
Brown & Schwaninger
1835 K Street, N.W.
Suite 650
Washington, D.C. 20006



Jeanette Dover

Harold Mordkofsky, Esq.
John Prendergast, Esq.
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson &
Dickens
2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20037

Jill Abeshouse Stern, Esq.
Robert J. Cynkar, Esq.
Janice H. Ziegler, Esq.
Edmund D. Daniels, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Jerome K. Blask, Esq.
Daniel E. Smith, Esq.
Gurman, Blask & Freedman, Chtd.
1400 16th Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036